# **Optical Physical-Layer Network Coding**

Zhixin Liu, Ming Li, Lu Lu, Chun-Kit Chan, Soung-Chang Liew, and Lian-Kuan Chen

*Abstract*—The application of physical-layer network coding (PNC) in optical communications is explored. We propose and demonstrate a practical optical PNC prototype for multicast protection in optical flow, burst, and packet switching networks. Different from conventional theoretical PNC studies, our proposed optical PNC system does not require bit synchronization and can be easily implemented.

*Index Terms*—Fiber protection, multicast network, physical-layer network coding (PNC), polarization multiplexing.

## I. INTRODUCTION

**D** RIVEN by the recent popularity of high-definition videos and data-intensive applications, a steady increase of data traffic in optical networks by 40% every year has been witnessed [1]. In order to realize more flexible switching granularity in high-capacity wavelength-routed networks, several robust optical transport schemes, including optical flow switching (OFS), optical burst switching (OBS) and optical packet switching (OPS), have been widely investigated. Recently, network coding [2] has also aroused a lot of attention for its potential to enhance the throughput and robustness of multicast networks [3], [4]. It could be realized by performing linear operations, such as logic exclusive-OR (XOR), on two signals at the network layer so as to share the same network link, thus reduces the required network resources.

To enhance system efficiency, it is more preferable to realize network coding in the physical layer. All-optical XOR gates could be utilized to realize physical-layer network coding (PNC) [5] in optical layer, however, the required nonlinear optical signal processing techniques as well as the stringent optical signal synchronization hinder the practicality of the implementation. Fortunately, PNC is not limited to techniques based on logical operation. It can also been realized by adding up the two signal frames, as demonstrated in wireless communications [6]. One of the key issues in PNC is how to assure the asynchrony between the signals transmitted by different transmitters [7]. It has been shown that the asynchrony penalty is small, provided that proper decoding method is adopted. Recently, analog net-

Manuscript received April 5, 2012; revised June 11, 2012; accepted June 12, 2012. Date of publication July 6, 2012; date of current version July 31, 2012. This work was supported in part by the AoE Grant E-02/08, in part by the General Research Funds Project 414911 under the University Grant Committee of the Hong Kong Special Administrative Region, China, in part by the CUHK Direct Grant 2050464, and in part by the China 973 Program under Project 2012CB315904.

The authors are with the Department of Information Engineering, Chinese University of Hong Kong, Shatin, Hong Kong (e-mail: lzx009@ie.cuhk.edu.hk; ckchan@ie.cuhk.edu.hk).

Color versions of one or more of the figures in this letter are available online at http://ieeexplore.ieee.org.

Digital Object Identifier 10.1109/LPT.2012.2204972



Fig. 1. (a) Example network utilizing network coding. (b) Frames before and after network coding. (c) Proposed optical PNC implementation.

work coding (ANC) [8], which does not require bit synchronization and can be decoded incoherently, has also been proposed.

Motivated by ANC, we propose optical PNC as the first implementation of PNC in optical networks. Two transmitted optical signal frames are polarization-multiplexed to form the network-coded frame, which is then incoherently detected by a single photo-detector (PD) at the receiver. Signal decoding is realized by subtracting the reconstructed waveform of either one of the two optical signal frames from that of the received network-coded frame. We further experimentally demonstrate the feasibility of the scheme with 10-Gb/s non-return-to-zero on-off keying (NRZ-OOK) optical frames.

#### II. MULTICAST PROTECTION VIA NETWORK CODING

Consider a four-node network, as shown in Fig. 1(a), A (node A) and B (node B) simultaneously transmit their respective multicast frames, N1 and N2, to both C (node C) and D (node D). The traditional way to protect single link failure (e.g. A-D) is to set up a connection between C and D, which relays N<sub>1</sub> from C to D. However, this incurs a certain setup time, which is undesirable when the data is very sensitive to network delay. To protect links A-D and B-D against any single link failure, C needs to relay both N1 and N2 to D on link C-D. By applying network coding, if N1 and N2 overlap in time domain, C only needs to forward a function  $N_0 = O\{N_1, N_2\}$  to D. Here,  $O\{N_1, N_2\}$  can be any function which has the property that N<sub>2</sub> can be derived from N<sub>1</sub> and N<sub>0</sub> in case of failure in link B-D only, while N1 can be derived from N<sub>2</sub> and N<sub>0</sub> in case of failure in link A-D only. As a result, the network resources for single link failure protection are saved.

### III. PROPOSED OPTICAL PNC SCHEME

Fig. 1(b) shows the structures of the optical frames before and after network coding. Each frame comprises both preamble and postamble, which are unique for each source node and the lengths of the two input frames are assumed to be equal. The waveform of  $N_0$  generated through optical PNC is the addition of the waveforms of  $N'_1$  and  $N'_2$  (i.e. the respective replicas of  $N_1$  and  $N_2$ ) with certain time shift between them, such that the leading and the trailing portions of  $N_0$  are free of signal interference. Frame-level scheduling is needed to assure this.

# IV. PROPOSED OPTICAL PNC SCHEME

To illustrate the process of network decoding, it is assumed that the bit sequence of  $N_1$  is known and the bit sequence of  $N_2$ is to be decoded from N<sub>0</sub>. From the interference-free preamble and postamble in N<sub>0</sub>, it can be determined whether N'<sub>1</sub> or  $N'_2$  comes earlier in time domain. Without loss of generality, here we assume that  $N'_1$  comes earlier. With the interferencefree preamble of  $N'_1$  extracted from  $N_0$ , the precise timing and amplitude information of N1 component contained in N0 can be determined. Together with the known bit sequence of  $N_1$ , the waveform of  $N'_1$  can be reconstructed, which is then subtracted from N<sub>0</sub>. The resultant signal is basically the N'<sub>2</sub> component contained in N<sub>0</sub>, and it is then used to derive the bit sequence of N<sub>2</sub>. The impulse response of the system, which is utilized for reconstructing  $N'_1$ , can be measured in advance or estimated from the interference-free preamble. For network decoding, both the waveforms of the received network-coded frame and the bit sequence of the successfully received frame need to be stored in the receiver.

Fig. 1(c) shows the system architecture of the proposed optical PNC scheme for an optical network, whose topology is the same as that in Fig. 1(a). At node C, a copy of input frame N<sub>1</sub> from node A and a copy of input frame N<sub>2</sub> from node B are combined, via a polarization beam combiner (PBC), which multiplexes N1 and N2 on two orthogonal polarizations. Thus, the network-coded frame N<sub>0</sub> is generated. Polarization controllers (PCs) are used to adjust the polarizations of the input frames so that the insertion loss at PBC can be minimized. The insertion loss at PBC can be monitored by measuring the output optical signal power at the other output port of the PBC. Time shifting between the frames is realized by proper scheduling in the network layer. The network-coded signal N<sub>0</sub> is then amplified by an erbium-doped fiber amplifier (EDFA) before being relayed to node D, where the network-coded signal is detected by a single PD and the missing frame can be recovered, when necessary.

#### V. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The experimental setup is depicted in Fig. 2. The output of a distributed feedback laser diode (DFB-LD) was modulated with a Mach–Zehnder modulator (MZM) driven by a periodic binary pattern at 10 Gb/s. Each period of the binary pattern consisted of a frame of 131583 bits followed by  $2 \times 10^5$  zero bits. Each frame contained repeated  $2^{17} - 1$  pseudorandom bit sequence (PRBS) as payload, to which two 256-bit Gold



Fig. 2. Experimental setup.

sequences were added, one at the beginning and the other at the end, as preamble and postamble, respectively. The output NRZ-OOK signal was then split into two branches to emulate the transmission of frame  $N_1$  and frame  $N_2$  in different paths. The two fiber branches consisted of 50.7-km and 50.8-km standard single-mode fiber (SSMF) segments which had  $\sim 17$  ps/(nm·km) chromatic dispersion coefficient at 1552.13 nm, followed by dispersion compensation module (DCM) of -680 ps/nm chromatic dispersion, respectively. The polarizations of the transmitted frames,  $N_1$  and  $N_2$ , were controlled by two PCs before being orthogonally multiplexed via a PBC to generate the network-coded frame  $N_0$ . The generated frame N<sub>0</sub> was then amplified by EDFA1, before being transmitted over another 50.1-km SSMF span, whose chromatic dispersion was also compensated with a DCM. On the receiver side the optical signal-to-noise ratio (OSNR) of the optical signal was adjusted, via injection of amplified spontaneous emission (ASE) noise from EDFA2. The optical signal was then filtered by a 0.8-nm optical bandpass filter (OBPF) to remove out-of-band ASE noise. Finally the filtered optical signal was detected by a single PIN photodiode and sampled at 50 GS/s by a Tektronix DSA72004 digital serial analyzer (DSA). Network decoding was implemented by offline digital signal processing.

As shown in Fig. 2, since the preamble was interferencefree,  $\varphi_1$ (sampling phase for N'<sub>1</sub> with respect to the reference clock) was able to be estimated from the preamble by selecting  $\varphi_1$  that gave the highest Q-factor. With the same criteria,  $\varphi_2$ (sampling phase for N'<sub>2</sub>) was estimated from the postamble. The difference of the sampling phases could then be calculated by  $\Delta \varphi = \varphi_1 - \varphi_2$ . In addition, both the power and the pulse shape of  $N'_1$  in  $N_0$  were able to be estimated from the preamble. With all these extracted information as well as the decided bit sequence of  $N_1$ , an estimation of  $N'_1$  in  $N_0$  was able to be constructed. Therefore,  $N'_2$  was able to be recovered by subtracting the estimated  $N'_1$  from  $N_0$ , and then the bit sequence of N<sub>2</sub> could be decided. Note that the clock frequency of  $N'_1$  was exactly the same as that of  $N_1$  and with some additional digital signal processing, the proposed optical PNC scheme also worked even when the clock frequencies of  $N_1$  and  $N_2$  were not equal or the clock frequencies drifted with time.

Fig. 3 shows the waveform of the received network-coded frame N<sub>0</sub>. The misalignment between the frames N'<sub>1</sub> and N'<sub>2</sub> was around 0.58  $\mu$ s, which corresponded to about 5800 bits. Clear NRZ-OOK eye was observed in the interference-free starting and ending parts of N<sub>0</sub>. The middle part showed four levels corresponding to the four possible combinations of N'<sub>1</sub> and N'<sub>2</sub>.

Fig. 4 shows the measured as well as the simulated bit-error rate (BER) curves for N<sub>1</sub> and N<sub>2</sub> frames without and with our proposed network coding/decoding scheme. For both the cases without and with optical PNC, the optical signal was directly detected by a single PD without polarization filtering. The BER was averaged over 13 frames, which corresponded to a total of more than  $1.7 \times 10^6$  bits. The powers of N'<sub>1</sub> and N'<sub>2</sub> in N<sub>0</sub> were kept to be the same level and the bits of N'<sub>1</sub> and N'<sub>2</sub> were aligned in the simulations. However, in the experiment such precise control of N'<sub>1</sub> and N'<sub>2</sub> could hardly be achieved, which also contributed to the discrepancy between the simulation and experimental results. The OSNR penalty (BER =  $10^{-3}$ ) induced by network coding/decoding in the simulation was 7.8 dB, and those in the experimental results for N<sub>1</sub>, and N<sub>2</sub> were 7.3 dB and 8.3 dB, respectively.

The OSNR penalty was mainly induced by the beat noise between the optical signal and the ASE noise. At sampling time the generated photo-current for  $N_2$  without network coding/decoding is

$$i_{2} = R \left( |s_{2} + n_{x}|^{2} + |n_{y}|^{2} \right)$$
  
=  $R \left( s_{2}^{2} + 2s_{2}n_{xc} + n_{xc}^{2} + n_{xs}^{2} + n_{yc}^{2} + n_{ys}^{2} \right)$  (1)

in which *R* was the responsivity of the PD,  $s_2$  was the amplitude of N<sub>2</sub>,  $n_x = n_{xc} + jn_{xs}$  and  $n_y = n_{yc} + jn_{ys}$  were the amplitudes of the two polarizations of the ASE noises within the receiver bandwidth. We assumed that the powers of  $n_x$  and  $n_y$  were both  $\sigma^2$ , and  $s_2$  was  $A_2$  for bit '1' and 0 for bit '0', then the *Q*-factor of N<sub>2</sub> at sampling time:

$$Q_{2} = \frac{E(i_{2}|s_{2} = A_{2}) - E(i_{2}|s_{2} = 0)}{\sqrt{Var(i_{2}|s_{2} = A_{2})} + \sqrt{Var(i_{2}|s_{2} = 0)}}$$
  
$$= \frac{R(A_{2}^{2} + 2\sigma^{2}) - R(2\sigma^{2})}{\sqrt{R^{2}(2A_{2}^{2}\sigma^{2} + 2\sigma^{4})} + \sqrt{R^{2}(2\sigma^{4})}}$$
  
$$= \frac{A_{2}^{2}/\sigma^{2}}{\sqrt{2A_{2}^{2}/\sigma^{2} + 2} + \sqrt{2}}$$
(2)

and the corresponding BER

$$BER = \frac{1}{2} erfc\left(\frac{Q_2}{\sqrt{2}}\right) \tag{3}$$

in which  $erfc(\bullet)$  was the complement error function.

Similarly, after network decoding the generated photocurrent for  $N_2'$  at sampling time was

$$i'_{2} = R \left( |s_{2} + n_{x}|^{2} + |s_{1} + n_{y}|^{2} \right) - Rs_{1}^{2}$$
  
=  $R \left( s_{2}^{2} + 2s_{2}n_{xc} + n_{xc}^{2} + n_{xs}^{2} + 2s_{1}n_{yc} + n_{yc}^{2} + n_{ys}^{2} \right)$  (4)



Fig. 3. Waveform and eye diagrams of the received frame  $N_0$ .



Fig. 4. BER performance of  $N_1$  and  $N_2$  without and with network coding and decoding. Points are fitted with straight line.

in which  $s_1$  was the amplitude of N'<sub>1</sub> at the sampling time, and *R* was the responsivity of the PD. Assuming that  $s_1$  and  $s_2$  were independent, after some algebra at the sampling time, the corresponding *Q*-factor of N'<sub>2</sub> when  $s_1 = A_1$ 

$$\begin{aligned} Q_{2}'|_{s_{1}=A_{1}} &= \frac{E(i_{2}'|s_{2}=A_{2},s_{1}=A_{1}) - E(i_{2}'|s_{2}=0,s_{1}=A_{1})}{\sqrt{Var(i_{2}'|s_{2}=A_{2},s_{1}=A_{1})} + \sqrt{Var(i_{2}'|s_{2}=0,s_{1}=A_{1})}} \\ &= \frac{R(A_{2}^{2}+2\sigma^{2}) - R(2\sigma^{2})}{\sqrt{R^{2}(2A_{2}^{2}\sigma^{2}+2A_{1}^{2}\sigma^{2}+2\sigma^{4})} + \sqrt{R^{2}(2A_{1}^{2}\sigma^{2}+2\sigma^{4})}} \\ &= \frac{A_{2}^{2}/\sigma^{2}}{\sqrt{2A_{2}^{2}/\sigma^{2}+2A_{1}^{2}/\sigma^{2}+2} + \sqrt{2A_{1}^{2}/\sigma^{2}+2}} \end{aligned}$$
(5)

and the corresponding BER

$$BER' = E\left[\frac{1}{2}erfc\left(\frac{Q_2'|_{s_1=A_1}}{\sqrt{2}}\right)\right]$$
(6)

in which  $E[\bullet]$  was the expectation over all possible values of  $A_1$ , the amplitude of  $N'_1$  at the sampling time of  $N'_2$ . Note that since  $N_1$  and  $N_2$  did not need to be aligned when the network coding was performed,  $A_1$  could take more than two values.

Comparing (1) and (4), it could be concluded that network coding/decoding introduced a noise term  $2s_1n_{yc}$ , which degraded the BER. When the bits of N'<sub>1</sub> and N'<sub>2</sub> were perfectly aligned and their amplitudes were both A,  $s_1$  took values of A and 0 with equal probability. Then from (2), (3), (5), and (6) the OSNR penalty at a BER of  $10^{-3}$  could



Fig. 5. Simulation results of OSNR penalty versus time misalignment between the bits of  $N_1$  and  $N_2$ . Points are fitted with third-order polynomial.

be calculated and the result was 8.4 dB. Note that within the OSNR penalty 3 dB was due to the difference in the definition of OSNR for single-polarized and dual-polarized signals. The OSNR for single-polarized signal was 3 dB less than that of dual-polarized signal when the value  $A^2/\sigma^2$  was the same for the two signals.

When N<sub>1</sub> and N<sub>2</sub> had the same bit rate, the dependence of the OSNR penalty on the time misalignment between the bits of N'<sub>1</sub> and N'<sub>2</sub> was also evaluated through simulation. As shown in Fig. 5, the variation of the OSNR penalty was less than 0.5 dB and the smallest OSNR penalty was achieved when the bit misalignment between N'<sub>1</sub> and N'<sub>2</sub> was half the bit interval. From (6), it could be noticed that different bit misalignment between N'<sub>1</sub> and N'<sub>2</sub> led to different distribution of  $A_1$ . When the bit misalignment was half the bit interval, the corresponding BER' achieved the minimum value.

We have further studied the *Q*-factors when the input powers of frames N'<sub>1</sub> and N'<sub>2</sub> are imbalanced. In the experiment, the ASE noises in both polarizations were the same and the OSNR was 25 dB. As shown in Fig. 6, the *Q*-factors of the decoded N'<sub>1</sub> and N'<sub>2</sub> were above the forward error correction (FEC) threshold for BER =  $10^{-3}$  when the power ratio ( $10\log_{10} (P_{N1}/P_{N2})$ ) ranged between -6 dB to 4 dB. The wide tolerance of the power ratio variation made the proposed optical PNC scheme robust against the imbalance between the powers of the frames N<sub>1</sub> and N<sub>2</sub> before optical PNC. To achieve optimal performance of the decoded N'<sub>1</sub> and N'<sub>2</sub>, the powers of N<sub>1</sub> and N<sub>2</sub> should be set at the same level before network coding.

In practice, the polarizations of the two multiplexed frames might not be precisely orthogonal. The required OSNR penalty induced by the loss of polarization orthogonality was simulated and shown in Fig. 7. The simulation assumed after PBC,  $N'_1$  and  $N'_2$  were linearly polarized and the angle between them was denoted by  $\theta$ . The carrier phase difference between  $N'_1$  and  $N'_2$  was denoted by  $\Delta \varphi$ . From Fig. 7, the carrier phase difference would not affect the OSNR penalty when  $\theta$  was precisely  $\pi/2$ . When the deviation of  $\theta$  from  $\pi/2$  was less than  $\pm 5^\circ$ , the maximum OSNR penalty was less than 1 dB for any  $\Delta \varphi$ .

# VI. SUMMARY

We have proposed and experimentally demonstrated optical PNC based on polarization multiplexing with 10-Gb/s



Fig. 6. *Q*-factors of the decoded  $N'_1$  and  $N'_2$  at different  $N_1$ ,  $N_2$  power ratios. The points are connected with spline interpolation.



Fig. 7. OSNR penalty induced by the loss of polarization orthogonality.

NRZ-OOK optical frames. The proposed optical PNC scheme does not require bit synchronization and it is tolerant to power imbalance between the two input optical frames before PNC. One of the important applications of optical PNC is to effectively implement multicast protection in optical networks.

#### REFERENCES

- Cisco Visual Networking Index: Forecast and Methodology. (2009) [Online]. Available: http://www.cisco.com/
- [2] R. Ahlswede, N. Cai, S.-Y. Li, and R. W. Yeung, "Network information flow," *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory*, vol. 46, no. 4, pp. 1204–1216, Jul. 2000.
- [3] E. D. Manley, J. S. Deogun, L. Xu, and D. R. Alexander, "All-optical network coding," *J. Opt. Commun. Netw.*, vol. 2, no. 4, pp. 175–191, Apr. 2010.
- [4] A. E. Kamal, "A generalized strategy for 1 + N protection," in Proc. IEEE Int. Conf. Commun., May 2008, pp. 5155– 5159.
- [5] R. Webb, R. Manning, G. Maxwell, and A. Poustie, "40 Gb/s all-optical XOR gate based on hybrid-integrated Mach–Zehnder interferometer," *Electron. Lett.*, vol. 39, no. 1, pp. 79–81, Jan. 2003.
- [6] S. Zhang, S.-C. Liew, and P. Lam, "Hot topic: Physical-layer network coding," in *Proc. 12th Annu. Int. Conf. Mobile Comput. Netw.*, Los Angeles, CA, Sep. 2006, pp. 358–365.
- [7] L. Lu and S. C. Liew, "Asynchronous physical-layer network coding," *IEEE Trans. Wireless Commun.*, vol. 11, no. 2, pp. 819–831, Feb. 2012.
- [8] S. Katti, S. Gollakota, and D. Katabi, "Embracing wireless interference: Analog network coding," ACM SIGCOMM Comput. Commun. Rev., vol. 37, no. 4, pp. 397–408, 2007.